

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

<b>In re United States Patent Application of:</b>		)	<b>Docket No.:</b>	<b>020884-000008</b>
<b>Applicant(s):</b>		)	<b>Examiner:</b>	<b>Zinna</b>
<b>Juan Carlos Lacal Sanjuan, et al.</b>		)		<b>Northington</b>
		)		<b>Davis</b>
<b>Application No.:</b>		)	<b>Art Unit:</b>	<b>1625</b>
<b>10/597,095</b>		)	<b>Conf. No.:</b>	<b>5976</b>
<b>U.S. Filing Date:</b>		)		
<b>September 29, 2006</b>		)	<b>Customer No.:</b>	<b>24239</b>
<b>Title:</b>		)		
<b>DERIVATIVES OF PYRIDINE AND</b>		)		
<b>QUINOLINE</b>		)		

**RESPONSE TO THE SEPTEMBER 1, 2009 OFFICE ACTION AND REQUEST FOR A ONE-MONTH EXTENSION UNDER 37 CFR §1.136(a) IN U.S. PATENT APPLICATION NO. 10/597,095**

Mail Stop Amendment  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

This responds to the September 1, 2009 Office Action in the subject patent application.

The claims of the application are set out in the following **Section I (The Claims)**.

Remarks addressing the substance of the September 1, 2009 Office Action are set out in the **Section II (Remarks)** hereof.